

## Lecture 18: November 3, 2020

Lecturer: Aram Harrow

Scribe: Yogeshwar Velingker, Joshua Lin

Recall from last time that

$$\mathbb{E}_{|v\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d} |v\rangle \langle v|^{\otimes n} = \frac{\Pi_{sym}^{d,n}}{\text{tr} \Pi_{sym}^{d,n}} = \frac{\sum_{\pi \in S_n} P_\pi}{d(d+1) \cdots (d+n-1)}.$$

Previously we proved this using direct calculation, but it can be shown in a more illuminating way using representation theory.

## 18.1 Representation theory

Let  $G$  be a group, and  $V$  a vector space. Then a map  $r : G \rightarrow L(V)$  is a representation if

$$r(gh) = r(g)r(h)$$

for all  $g, h \in G$ .

Examples:  $g \in U_d \rightarrow g^{\otimes n} \in U(\mathbb{C}^{d^n})$

$\pi \in S_n \rightarrow P_\pi \in U(\mathbb{C}^{d^n})$  (acts by permuting the positions).

Fix  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  where  $|\omega| = 1$ . Then  $z \in \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \omega^z \in U(1)$  is a representation. This also works for any  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ , but we may get a non-unitary representation. Similarly, if  $\omega^p = 1$ , then  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \omega^z \in U(1)$  is a representation.

Two representations  $(r_1, V_1)$  and  $(r_2, V_2)$  are considered *equivalent* if there exists  $T \in L(V_1, V_2)$  such that

$$Tr_1(g) = r_2(g)T$$

for all  $g \in G$ . Written as  $(r_1, V_1) \cong (r_2, V_2)$ .

Fact: If  $G$  is finite or compact then any representation is equivalent to a unitary representation.

A representation  $(r, V)$  is *reducible* if  $(r, V) \cong (r_1 \oplus r_2, V_1 \oplus V_2)$ . There is a basis in which for all  $g$ ,  $r(g)$  can be written in block diagonal form  $\begin{pmatrix} r_1(g) & 0 \\ 0 & r_2(g) \end{pmatrix}$ . If there is no such decomposition,  $(r, V)$  is an *irreducible* representation, or *irrep*.

Examples: Trivial representation  $r(g) = 1 \in U(1)$  for all  $g$ .

For a finite group  $G$ , let  $\mathbb{C}[G] = \text{span}\{|g\rangle : g \in G\} \cong \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$ . Then we obtain the left/right regular representations:  $L(x)|g\rangle = |xg\rangle$  and  $R(x)|g\rangle = |gx^{-1}\rangle$ . These representations are reducible, since  $\sum_{g \in G} |g\rangle$  is acted on trivially.

In fact, we can decompose  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  into irreps. Let  $\hat{G}$  be the set of inequivalent irreps  $(r_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ . Then we can write

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \underset{L(g_1)R(g_2)}{\cong} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} V_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda^* \underset{L}{\cong} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} V_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\dim V_\lambda}$$

where the dual representation  $(r^*, V^*)$  is defined as  $r^*(g) = r(g^{-1})^T$  and the left representation and right representation act on different spaces. Note that the dimensions of both sides are equal:  $|G| = \sum_\lambda d_\lambda^2$  where  $d_\lambda$  is the dimension of  $V_\lambda$ .

We can write  $L(V, W) \cong V^* \otimes W$  since linear maps look like  $\sum_{v,w} c_{v,w} |w\rangle \langle v|$ . If we have two representations  $(r, V)$  and  $(s, W)$  we obtain a representation  $r(g^{-1})^T \otimes s(g) = r^*(g) \otimes s(g)$  acting on matrices as follows:

$$M \in L(V, W) \rightarrow s(g)Mr(g)^{-1}$$

since  $\text{vec}(AMB) = (A \otimes B^T)\text{vec}(M)$ .

Examples: For unitaries  $U$ ,  $r(U) = U \otimes U^*$  corresponds to  $\rho \rightarrow U\rho U^\dagger$ . A 1D invariant subspace is spanned by the maximally entangled state  $|\Phi\rangle = \sum_i |i\rangle \otimes |i\rangle = \text{vec}(I)$ . The remaining  $(d^2 - 1)$ -dimensional subspace also turns out to be irreducible.

$r(U) = U \otimes U$ . This commutes with  $F = \text{SWAP}$ , so it preserves the  $V_{\text{sym}}$  and  $V_{\text{anti}}$  subspaces, which have dimensions  $d(d \pm 1)/2$ . These subrepresentations are irreducible. For  $d = 2$ , these are known as the triplet and singlet states. In general  $(r(U) = U^{\otimes n}, \text{Sym}^n \mathbb{C}^d)$  is an irrep of  $U_d$ .

Proof sketch: Suppose  $|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle \in \text{Sym}^n \mathbb{C}^d$ . There exist  $|\phi_1\rangle, |\phi_2\rangle$  such that  $\langle \psi_1 |^{\otimes n} |\psi_i\rangle \neq 0$ . This can be used to show the existence of  $U$  such that  $\langle \psi_1 | r(U) | \psi_2 \rangle \neq 0$ .

Schur's Lemma: If  $V_\mu, V_\nu$  are irreps of a group  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and then the set of  $G$ -invariant maps from  $V_\mu \rightarrow V_\nu$  is

$$L(V_\mu, V_\nu)^G = \begin{cases} 0, & \mu \neq \nu \\ \mathbb{C}I, & \mu = \nu \end{cases}$$

This is the set of maps that preserve the group action, i.e.  $r_\nu(g)T = Tr_\mu(g)$  for all  $g$ .

Proof: Suppose  $T \in L(V_\mu, V_\nu)^G$ . Then the subspaces  $\ker T$  and  $\text{Im} T$  are  $G$ -invariant. Since  $V_\mu$  and  $V_\nu$  are irreps, either  $\ker T = 0$  or  $\ker T = V_\mu$ , and either  $\text{Im} T = 0$  or  $\text{Im} T = V_\nu$ . Therefore, if  $\mu \neq \nu$  we must have  $T = 0$ . Otherwise, if  $\mu = \nu$ ,

choose eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$  (which exists since it is over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Then  $\ker(T - \lambda I) \neq 0$ , so  $\ker(T - \lambda I) = V\mu$  and  $T = \lambda I$ .

This does not work for irreps over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the  $SO(2)$  action on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$ . This commutes with the group action, but is not a multiple of  $I$ .

Before we move on, we will introduce the Haar measure. This is the uniform measure on compact groups, and is the unique measure satisfying

$$\mu_{\text{Haar}}(S) = \mu_{\text{Haar}}(gS) = \mu_{\text{Haar}}(Sg).$$

If  $U \sim \text{Haar}$ , then for arbitrary  $|v\rangle$ ,  $U|v\rangle$  is uniformly random.

$$\mathbb{E}_{U \sim \text{Haar}} r(U)$$

is the projector onto  $U_d$ -invariant vectors.

Calculation:

Let

$$M = \mathbb{E}_{|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d, \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{E}_{U \sim \text{Haar}} (U|0\rangle \langle 0| U^\dagger)^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{E} r(U) |0\rangle \langle 0|^{\otimes n} r(U)^\dagger.$$

Then

$$r(V)M = \mathbb{E}_U r(VU) |0\rangle \langle 0|^{\otimes n} r(U^\dagger) \quad (18.1)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{W=VU} r(w) |0\rangle \langle 0|^{\otimes n} r(W^\dagger V) \quad (18.2)$$

$$= Mr(V), \quad (18.3)$$

so by Schur's Lemma

$$M = \lambda I_{\text{Sym}^n \mathbb{C}^d} = \frac{\Pi_{\text{Sym}}^{d,n}}{\text{tr} \Pi_{\text{Sym}}^{d,n}}.$$

What about  $\mathbb{E} U^{\otimes n} M (U^\dagger)^{\otimes n}$  if  $M \neq |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|^{\otimes n}$ ?

Isotypic decomposition: Compact/finite groups satisfy complete reducibility, which means that every representation can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreps.

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} V_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}^{M_\lambda} \quad (18.4)$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_\lambda \otimes L(V_\lambda, V)^G \quad (18.5)$$

where  $M_\lambda \geq 0$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

## 18.2 Schur-Weyl duality

Duality between action of the unitary group and the symmetric group.

Let  $q_n(U) = U^{\otimes n}$  and  $p_d(\pi) = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n \in [d]} |i_1 \dots i_n\rangle \langle i_{\pi(1)} \dots i_{\pi(n)}|$ , where both operators act on  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ . We have  $[q_n(U), p_d(\pi)] = 0$ .

We can write

$$(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n} \underset{p_d q_n}{\cong}^{U_d \times S_n} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Par}(n,d)} Q_\lambda \otimes P_\lambda$$

where  $Q_\lambda$  and  $P_\lambda$  are  $U_d$  and  $S_n$  irreps respectively, labeled by partitions from the set

$$\text{Par}(n, d) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0, \sum_i \lambda_i = n \}.$$


Schur-Weyl duality says that the each  $\lambda$  has multiplicity 1.


Follows from the following. Let

$$A = \text{span}\{q_n(U) : U \in U_d\}, B = \text{span}\{p_d(\pi) : \pi \in S_n\}$$

Then  $\text{Comm}(A) = \{X : [X, a] = 0 \ \forall a \in A\} = B$  and  $\text{Comm}(B) = A = \text{span}\{X^{\otimes n} : X \in M_d\}$  by the Double Commutant theorem.

Examples:

We can denote a partition by a *Young diagram*, where we arrange  $n$  boxes in rows corresponding to each part. If  $n = 2$ , there are two partitions:  $\lambda = (2, 0)$   and

$\lambda = (1, 1)$   and we have

$$P_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} = \text{trivial}$$

$$Q_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} = \text{symmetric}$$

$$P_{\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}} = \text{sign}$$

$$Q_{\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}} = \text{antisymmetric}$$

If  $d = 2$ , then for a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  we obtain a spin- $J$  representation, where  $J = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)/2$ .

When  $n = 3, d = 2$  there are two partitions:  $P_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} = \text{trivial}, Q_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} = \text{spin } 3/2, \dim 4$  and  $\dim P_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}} = 2, Q_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}} = \text{spin } 1/2, \dim 2$ . The dimensions match up since  $2^3 = 1 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 2$ .

$$\#\text{Par} \sim n^d, \dim Q_\lambda \leq n^{d^2}, \dim P_\lambda \approx \exp(nH(\frac{\lambda}{n})).$$