

## Assignment 3

*Due: Friday, Sep 30, 2022 at 5pm*

**Turning in your solutions:** Upload a single pdf file (typed or neatly handwritten) to gradescope.

1. **Gentle measurement.** Suppose we perform a two-outcome measurement  $\{M, I - M\}$  with  $0 \leq M \leq I$ . This does not uniquely define the post-measurement states, but we will assume that when the first outcome occurs,  $\rho$  is mapped to

$$\sigma := \frac{\sqrt{M}\rho\sqrt{M}}{\text{tr}[M\rho]}. \quad (1)$$

(This happens with probability  $\text{tr}[M\rho]$ .) Quantum measurements can sometimes cause significant disturbance, so it is possible that  $\sigma$  is far from  $\rho$ , but this turns out not to happen when  $\text{tr}[M\rho]$  is close to 1. Prove that

$$F(\rho, \sigma) \geq \sqrt{\text{tr } M\rho}. \quad (2)$$

Hint: Can you show that  $\sqrt{M} \geq M$ ?

2. **Channel fidelity** Consider a compression scheme for  $\rho$ , consisting of an encoding  $\mathcal{E}$  followed by a decoding  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{D}$ . (In class we considered the case where  $\rho = \sigma^{\otimes n}$ . For simplicity, in this problem we will just talk about compressing  $\rho$  without making use of any tensor power structure.)

This problem will discuss different accuracy metrics for the compression scheme. We saw that it is not enough for  $F(\rho, \mathcal{N}(\rho))$  to be high. Three other possibilities are

$$\text{entanglement fidelity} \quad F_e := F(\phi^\rho, (\mathcal{N} \otimes \text{id})\phi^\rho), \quad (3a)$$

where  $\phi_{AB}^\rho$  is a pure state satisfying  $\text{tr}_B \phi_{AB}^\rho = \rho_A$  (it turns out that  $F_e$  should not depend on which purification is used;

$$\text{average fidelity} \quad \bar{F} := \min \sum_i p_i F(\varphi_i, \mathcal{N}(\varphi_i)), \quad (3b)$$

where the min is taken over all decompositions of  $\rho$  into pure states (not necessarily orthogonal)  $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|$ ; and

$$\text{eigenbasis fidelity} \quad F_\lambda := \sum_i \lambda_i F(\psi_i, \mathcal{N}(\psi_i)), \quad (3c)$$

for the eigendecomposition of  $\rho$  into  $\sum_i \lambda_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ . Prove that

$$F_e \leq \bar{F} \leq F_\lambda. \quad (4)$$

Find an example where  $F_\lambda$  is much higher than  $\bar{F}$ . It turns out that if  $\bar{F} = 1 - \epsilon$  then  $F_e \geq 1 - \frac{3}{2}\epsilon$  but this is not easy to prove, and is not a required part of the pset. Some discussion of this point will be in the pset solutions, and feel free to work on the question yourself if you like.

### 3. Entropy inequalities.

(a) If  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  is a pure state show that  $S(A) = S(B)$ .

(b) *Triangle inequality.* If  $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$  is pure, then  $S(A) \leq S(B) + S(C)$ .

(c) *Araki-Lieb inequality.* For a general state  $\rho_{AB}$ ,

$$|S(A) - S(B)| \leq S(AB). \quad (5)$$

(d)  $|S(A|B)| \leq S(A)$ .

(e)  $I(A : B|C) \leq 2 \log \min(\dim A, \dim B)$

(f) *Optional.* Finish the proof that  $D(\rho||\sigma) \geq 0$ . Hint: The concavity of log means that  $\sum_j p_j \log(x_j) \leq \sum_j \log(p_j x_j)$ .