# Q. Inf. Science 3 (8.372) — Fall 2024

## Assignment 10

#### Due: Tuesday, Dec 3, 2024 at  $9pm$

#### Turning in your solutions: Upload a single pdf file to [gradescope.](https://www.gradescope.com/courses/857725/assignments/5372311)

#### 1. A pretty good problem

In class it was claimed that  $I(A; C|B)_{\rho} = 0$  if and only if

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\rho^{ABC} = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^{AB_{\alpha}^{L}} \otimes \omega_{\alpha}^{B_{\alpha}^{R}C},\tag{1}
$$

where the system  $B$  can be decomposed as

$$
B = \bigoplus_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}^{L} \otimes B_{\alpha}^{R}
$$
 (2)

- (a) Assume that  $\rho > 0$ , i.e.  $\rho$  is full rank. Show that eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) implies that  $\rho =$  $e^{-X_{AB}-Y_{BC}}$  for some commuting Hermitian operators  $X_{AB}, Y_{BC}$ . (The reverse implication is also true but you don't need to prove it here.)
- (b) **Adjoint channels**. Define the *Hilbert-Schmidt* inner product between two matrices to be

$$
\langle X, Y \rangle := \operatorname{tr} \left[ X^{\dagger} Y \right]. \tag{3}
$$

The adjoint of a superoperator  $T \in L(L(A), L(B))$  with respect to this inner product is defined by the expression

$$
\langle X, T(Y) \rangle = \langle T^{\dagger}(X), Y \rangle.
$$
 (4)

This is also known as the Heisenberg picture for quantum operations.

- i. If  $T(\rho) = \sum_{i \in [k]} A_i \rho A_i^{\dagger}$  then what are the Kraus operators of  $T^{\dagger}$ ?
- ii. Let  $T$  be a superoperator, not necessarily a valid quantum operation. What condition on  $T^{\dagger}$  is equivalent to the condition that T is trace preserving? What condition on  $T^{\dagger}$  is equivalent to the condition that T is completely positive?
- iii. tr<sub>C</sub> is a quantum channel from  $B \otimes C$  to B. What is  $\text{tr}_C^{\dagger}$ ?
- iv. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \ldots, M_k\}$  be a POVM. Define a new POVM  $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{N}$  by applying N and then measuring M. Write down the POVM elements of  $M \circ N$  and justify your answer.

(c) The Petz recovery map, also known as the transpose channel, is a method of approximately reversing a quantum channel  $\mathcal N$  with respect to input state  $\sigma$ . Given  $\sigma, \mathcal{N}$  the Petz map is the operation  $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \mathcal{N}}$  defined as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\mathcal{N}}(\rho)\sigma^{1/2}\mathcal{N}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2}\rho\mathcal{N}(\sigma)^{-1/2})\sigma^{1/2} \tag{5}
$$

Assume for convenience that  $\sigma$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$  are full rank. Show that  $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\mathcal{N}}(\rho)$  is a TPCP map, i.e. a valid quantum operation.

- (d) Calculate the Petz recovery map for a state  $\sigma_{BC}$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \text{tr}_C$ ; denote this  $\mathcal{P}$ . Show that if  $\sigma_{ABC}$  satisfies  $I(A;C|B)_{\sigma}=0$  then  $(id_A \otimes \mathcal{P}_{B\to BC})\sigma_{AB}=\sigma_{ABC}$ .
- (e) Now apply the Petz recovery map to the state distinguishability problem. Here we are given state  $\rho_x$  with probability  $p_x$  (for  $x \in [n]$ ) and want to guess x. Let

$$
\sigma^{XQ} = \sum_{x} p_x |x \rangle \langle x |^X \otimes \rho_x^Q, \tag{6}
$$

and calculate the Petz recovery map for the operation  $\text{tr}_X$ . Interpret this as a measurement with operators  $M_x = \rho^{-1/2} A_x \rho^{-1/2}$ , with  $\rho := \sigma^Q = \sum_x p_x \rho_x$  and find  $A_x$ . This measurement is called the *pretty good measurement*, or PGM.

(f) With the same setup as the previous part, let  $\{N_x\}$  be the measurement which achieves the optimal guessing probability, i.e. it achieves the maximum in

$$
P_{\text{opt}} := \max_{\{N_x\}} \sum_x p_x \operatorname{tr}[N_x \rho_x] \tag{7}
$$

Let  $P_{\text{PGM}} := \sum_x p_x \text{tr}[M_x \rho_x]$  where  $\{M_x\}$  is the PGM from the previous part. Prove that

$$
P_{\text{PGM}} \ge P_{\text{opt}}^2 \tag{8}
$$

This justifies the term "pretty good measurement."

### 2. Rényi subaddivity?

The error term in merging is proportional to:

$$
\text{tr}\left[\psi_{AR}^2\right] + \text{tr}\left[\psi_A^2\right] \text{tr}\left[\psi_R^2\right] = 2^{-S_2(AR)} + 2^{-S_2(A) - S_2(R)}.\tag{9}
$$

If it were true that one of these terms was dominated by the other, then we could give a simplified upper bound. This problem will explore that possibility.

- (a) Show that there exists a choice of  $\psi$  for which  $S_2(AR) \gg S_2(A) + S_2(R)$  and another choice where  $S_2(AR) \ll S_2(A) + S_2(R)$ .
- (b) How does this change when we replace the Rènyi entropy  $S_2$  with the von Neumann entropy  $S$ ?

#### 3. An area law for the mutual information

Consider a local Hamiltonian  $H = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} h_{i,j}$  where E is a collection of edges defining a graph over a vertex set V and  $h_{i,j}$  is a term acting on the qudits at sites i and j. Partition V into  $A, A$  and write H as

$$
H = H_A + H_{\bar{A}} + H_{\partial},\tag{10}
$$

where  $H_A$  (resp.  $H_{\bar{A}}$ ) are the terms acting entirely within  $A, \bar{A}$  and  $H_{\partial}$  is the sum of all the interactions between A and  $\overline{A}$ , i.e. either  $i \in A$ ,  $j \in \overline{A}$  or vice versa.

Let 
$$
\sigma := \frac{e^{-H/T}}{\text{tr}[e^{-H/T}]}
$$
 be the Gibbs state.

(a) Prove that

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
I(A; \bar{A})_{\sigma} \le \frac{\|H_{\partial}\|}{T}
$$
\n(11)

(b) What does this tell us about the amount of entanglement between  $A$  and  $A$  in the ground state? If  $T = 0$  then  $\sigma$  is the ground state (or mixture over all ground states) but then eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-0) is vacuous. However, suppose we further assume a bound on the density of states. Specifically, that the ground state energy is  $E_0$  and that the number of states of energy  $\leq E_0 + k$  is  $\leq n^k$ . Show how this yields a nontrivial bound on the ground-state entanglement entropy.

#### 4. Area law correction in the surface code

This problem is optional. But I hope you find it tempting!

The surface (or toric) code is defined on a lattice with qubits on each edge, and stabilizer generators

$$
A_s = \prod_{e \sim s} X_e \qquad B_p = \prod_{e \sim p} Z_e \tag{12}
$$

Here s refers to a "site" (or vertex) and  $e \sim s$  means that e is one of the four edges touching this site. These four edges make a star. Next  $p$  refers to a "plaquette" (or square) and  $e \sim p$  refers to the four edge bordering this plaquette. This is illustrated in  $??$  (a).

For simplicity, we will consider the surface code with smooth boundaries, or else on the sphere, so that there are no logical qubits. This means that there is a unique state  $|\psi\rangle$  stabilized by all the  $\{A_s\}$  and  $\{B_v\}$ . (The story is similar for the case of the torus or rough boundaries when there are some logical qubits but we wish to avoid those complications for now.)

Let A be an subregion of size  $k \times k$ , illustrated in ??(b). Compute  $S(\psi_A)$  as a function of k. Your answer should be of the form  $\alpha k - \gamma$ . The term  $\gamma$  is known as the topological entanglement entropy and was introduced in [hep-th/0510092.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0510092) (You do not need anything from that paper to solve this problem.)



Figure 1: (a) Star  $A_s$  and plaquette  $B_p$  operators. (b) A is a subregion of size  $k \times k$ . Here  $k=4.$ 

As a hint, a stabilizer state  $|S\rangle$  is defined in terms of a maximal stabilizer subgroup S of the Pauli group  $P_n := \pm \{I, X, iY, Z\}^{\otimes n}$ . We require that  $-1 \in S$ , S is abelian and  $|S| = 2^n$ , and then have

$$
|S\rangle\langle S| = |S|^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I + g_i}{2},\tag{13}
$$

where  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  generates S. If instead  $|S| = 2^m$  for  $m \leq n$  then in general we obtain the mixed state

$$
\rho_S := |S|^{-1} \sum_{s \in S} s = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{I + g_i}{2}.
$$
\n(14)

This has  $2^{n-m}$  eigenvalues, each equal to  $2^{m-n}$ .