

## Assignment 2

Due: **Friday, Sep 23, 2022 at 5pm**

**Turning in your solutions:** Upload a single pdf file (typed or neatly handwritten) to gradescope.

**Collaboration policy:** You may work individually or together in small groups but should write up your solutions individually. You can use [psetpartners.mit.edu](https://psetpartners.mit.edu) to find partners if you don't already know people in the class.

1. **Quantum channels** For vector spaces  $V, W$ , let  $L(V, W)$  be the space of linear maps from  $V$  to  $W$ , and for brevity, define  $L(V) := L(V, V)$ .

(a) Show that any linear operator  $\mathcal{N}$  from  $L(\mathbb{C}^{d_1})$  to  $L(\mathbb{C}^{d_2})$  can be written in the form  $\mathcal{N}(X) = \sum_a A_a X B_a^\dagger$  for some matrices  $A_a, B_a$ . What dimension are these matrices?

(b) **Non-uniqueness of Kraus operators.** When we write a channel in the Stinespring representation as  $\mathcal{N}(\rho) = \text{tr}_E V \rho V^\dagger$ , the outcome is the same if we perform a further isometry on system  $E$  before tracing it out. What effect does this have on the Kraus operators?

(c) **Adjoint.** Define the *Hilbert-Schmidt* inner product between two matrices to be

$$\langle X, Y \rangle := \text{tr}[X^\dagger Y]. \quad (1)$$

The adjoint of a superoperator  $T \in L(L(A), L(B))$  with respect to this inner product is defined by the expression

$$\langle X, T(Y) \rangle = \langle T^\dagger(X), Y \rangle. \quad (2)$$

This is also known as the Heisenberg picture for quantum operations.

- i. If  $T(\rho) = \sum_{i \in [k]} A_i \rho A_i^\dagger$  then what are the Kraus operators of  $T^\dagger$ ?
- ii.  $\text{tr}_C$  is a quantum channel from  $B \otimes C$  to  $B$ . What is  $\text{tr}_C^\dagger$ ?
- iii. Write down a valid quantum operation  $T$  that is not unitary (or proportional to a unitary) and that satisfies  $T = T^\dagger$ .
- iv. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$  be a POVM. Define a new POVM  $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{N}$  by applying  $\mathcal{N}$  and then measuring  $\mathcal{M}$ . Write down the POVM elements of  $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{N}$  and justify your answer.

2. **Types.** Given a sequence  $x^n = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in [d]^n$  and a symbol  $a \in [d]$ , let  $N(a|x^n)$  be the number of occurrences of  $a$  in  $x^n$ . The *type* (or empirical probability distribution) of  $x^n$  is the distribution that results from choosing a random letter from  $x^n$ , i.e.  $P_{x^n}(a) = \frac{1}{n}N(a|x^n)$ . Here we use  $P_{x^n}$  to denote the type of  $x^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  denote the set of all possible types of sequences in  $[d]^n$ ; equivalently  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is the set of probability distributions on  $[d]$  whose entries are integer multiples of  $1/n$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_p^n := \{x^n : P_{x^n} = p\}$ . Note that

$$|\mathcal{T}_p^n| = \binom{n}{np} := \frac{n!}{np_1! np_2! \cdots np_d!}. \quad (3)$$

- (a) List the elements of  $\mathcal{P}_3$  when  $d = 3$ .  
 (b) Prove the upper bound

$$|\mathcal{P}_n| \leq (n+1)^{d-1}. \quad (4)$$

- (c) Prove that for  $x^n \in \mathcal{T}_p^n$ ,

$$p^n(x^n) := p(x_1) \cdots p(x_n) = 2^{-nH(p)}, \quad (5)$$

where  $H(p) := \sum_x p(x) \log(1/p(x))$ .

- (d) For types  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , compute  $p^n(\mathcal{T}_q^n)$  where we use the notation  $p^n(S)$  to mean  $\sum_{x^n \in S} p^n(x^n)$ . Express your answer in terms of  $H(q)$  and  $D(q||p) = \sum_x q(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$ .  
 (e) It turns out that  $p^n(\mathcal{T}_q^n)$  takes on its maximum value (as a function of  $q$ ) when  $q = p$ . You do not need to prove this. Use this fact, along with the previous parts, to prove that

$$\frac{2^{nH(p)}}{(n+1)^{d-1}} \leq |\mathcal{T}_p^n| \leq 2^{nH(p)}. \quad (6)$$

- (f) Pinsker's inequality (which you can use without proof) states that

$$D(q||p) \geq \frac{1}{2 \ln 2} \|p - q\|_1^2. \quad (7)$$

Combine this with the last two parts to prove that

$$p^n(\mathcal{T}_q^n) \leq e^{-n \frac{\|p-q\|_1^2}{2}}. \quad (8)$$

- (g) One consequence of (8) is a weak version of a Chernoff bound. Suppose that we have a coin with probability  $a$  of heads and probability  $1 - a$  of tails. If we flip it  $n$  times show that the probability of  $\geq nb$  heads for  $b > a$  decreases exponentially with  $n$ .  
 (h) We can also use types to define a sharper version of typical sets. Define

$$\mathcal{T}_{p,\delta}^n = \bigcup_{q: \|p-q\|_1 \leq \delta} \mathcal{T}_q^n. \quad (9)$$

Prove that  $1 - p^n(\mathcal{T}_{p,\delta}^n)$  is exponentially small for fixed  $p$  and fixed  $\delta > 0$ .