

Assignment 9

Due: **Tuesday, Nov 19, 2024 at 9pm**

Turning in your solutions: Upload a single pdf file to [gradescope](#).

1. Random states

- (a) Consider a collection of unit vectors $|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_n\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Everyone knows that if the vectors are orthogonal then we must have $n \leq d$. Suppose instead we have $\langle v_i | v_j \rangle = \alpha$ for each $i \neq j$ where α is a fixed real number in the interval $(0, 1)$. Show that we again must have $n \leq d$. As a hint, the Gram matrix has entries $G_{i,j} = \langle v_i | v_j \rangle$; consider its rank.
- (b) Choose unit vectors $|v\rangle, |w\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$ uniformly at random. Show that

$$\Pr \left[|\langle v | w \rangle|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \right] \leq c^{-d} \quad (1)$$

for some constant $c > 1$. As a hint, consider higher moments of $|\langle v | w \rangle|^2$. (Note that the choice of $1/2$ here was arbitrary, and the same result holds for any number between 0 and 1.)

- (c) Show that one can construct unit vectors $|v_1\rangle, \dots, |v_n\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$ with $n \geq \exp(\Omega(d))$ and $|\langle v_i | v_j \rangle|^2 \leq 1/2$ for each $i \neq j$.
- (d) Explain how to use the construction in the previous part for *equality testing*. In this problem, Alice and Bob each receive inputs $x, y \in [n]$, and each send $\log d$ qubits to Charlie, who has to guess whether $x = y$ or $x \neq y$. If $x = y$ then Charlie should output EQUAL with probability c , while if $x \neq y$ Charlie should output EQUAL with probability s , and we should have $c - s \geq \Omega(1)$.
- (e) [Optional and way too hard for the pset.] Construct rank- $d/2$ dimensional projectors $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_n$ in $\mathbb{C}^d$ with $\text{tr} \Pi_i \Pi_j \leq \frac{2}{3}d$ and $n \geq \exp(\Omega(d^2))$.
[Hint: If you want a spoiler, look at Lemma III.5 of quant-ph/0407049.]

2. Spectrum estimation

In Schur-Weyl duality, we decompose

$$\mathbb{C}^d \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Par}(n,d)} \mathcal{Q}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{P}_\lambda. \quad (2)$$

Let the corresponding projectors be Π_λ , and let the unitary performing this change of basis be U_{SW} . It turns out that

$$U_{\text{SW}} \rho^{\otimes n} U_{\text{SW}}^\dagger = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Par}(n,d)} |\lambda\rangle\langle\lambda| \otimes q_\lambda(\rho) \otimes I_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda} \quad (3)$$

so that

$$\mathrm{tr}[\Pi_\lambda \rho^{\otimes n}] = \mathrm{tr}[q_\lambda(\rho)] \dim \mathcal{P}_\lambda \quad (4)$$

Here q_λ is the representation matrix for the group $U(d)$ acting on the irrep $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$. We can extend it to act on non-unitary matrices (like ρ) by treating it as a polynomial in the entries of ρ . You will not need to carry this out but can rely on the characterization of q_λ below in (10).

Let the sorted eigenvalues of ρ be denoted $r = (r_1, \dots, r_d)$. So $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_d \geq 0$. Let $\bar{\lambda} := \lambda/n$. In this problem we will prove that

$$\mathrm{tr}[\Pi_\lambda \rho^{\otimes n}] \leq n^{O(d^2)} \exp(-nD(\bar{\lambda} \| r)), \quad (5)$$

implying that measuring Π_λ is an effective way of estimating the spectrum of ρ .

(a) Prove that

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_\lambda \leq \binom{n}{\lambda} := \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_d!}. \quad (6)$$

Using problem 2 from pset 2, relate this to $\exp(nH(\bar{\lambda}))$.

As a hint, the basis elements of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ are labeled by the Standard Young Tableaux (SYT) meaning ways of filling λ with the numbers $1, \dots, n$ with the numbers strictly increasing from left to right and from top to bottom. For example, the SYT of shape $\lambda = (4, 2)$ are

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & 6 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 6 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 2 & 6 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (8)$$

(b) In this part you will prove that

$$\mathrm{tr}[q_\lambda(\rho)] \leq n^{O(d^2)} r^\lambda \quad \text{where} \quad r^\lambda := r_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots r_d^{\lambda_d} \quad (9)$$

Here you will need to use the fact that

$$\mathrm{tr}[q_\lambda(\rho)] = \sum_{\mu \text{ a SSYT of shape } \lambda} r^{w(\mu)} \quad (10)$$

A SSYT (semistandard Young tableau) of shape λ is a way of filling λ with the numbers $1, \dots, d$ such that the numbers are strictly increasing from top to bottom and weakly increasing (i.e. nondecreasing) from left to right. So the SSYT of shape $\lambda = (2, 1)$ with $d = 3$ are

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad (11)$$

The “weight” of μ is denoted $w(\mu)$ and is the unnormalized type, meaning the vector of frequencies of each letter. So $w\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = (2, 1, 0)$ (assuming $d = 3$) and

$$\mathrm{tr}[q_{(2,1)}(\rho)] = r_1^2 r_2 + r_1 r_2^2 + 2r_1 r_2 r_3 + r_1 r_3^2 + r_2^2 r_3 + r_2 r_3^2 \quad (12)$$

- i. Prove that the number of SSYT of shape λ are $\leq n^{O(d^2)}$. As a hint, just consider one row at a time.
- ii. Let w be the weight of a SSYT μ of shape λ . Prove that $w_1 + \cdots + w_k \leq \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k$ for each $k = 1, \dots, d$.
When this happens we can also write $w \preceq \lambda$ and say that w is “majorized by” λ . As a hint, what is the lowest row that the number k can appear in?
- iii. Prove (9).